

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

201

CRR(F)-237-2016(O&M)

Date of Decision:11.02.2020

Neeraj Khurana

.....Petitioner

Versus

Ritu Khurana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

**Present: Mr. Mohit Aneja, Advocate for
Mr. K.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

**Mr. Arun Kumar Batra, Advocate,
for the respondents.**

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that during pendency of the present petition, matter has amicably been settled between the parties and therefore, nothing survives in the present petition.

This fact is not disputed by learned counsel for the respondents.

The parties are *ad idem* to appear before the Executing Court, so as to apprise the factum of compromise having been arrived at between them and to make necessary statements before the appropriate forum where the litigations are pending.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the case was fixed for 07.02.2020 before the Executing Court, however, respondent No.1 did not appear.

Learned counsel for the respondents undertakes that respondent

No.1 shall appear before the Executing Court and shall apprise the Court as regard the compromise having been arrived at between the parties.

Similarly, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the matter has been compromised between the parties, the petitioner shall withdraw the application filed by him under Section 340 Cr.P.C.

In view of the fact that matter has been amicably settled between the parties, the present petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

February 11, 2020
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No