

CRM-M-44052 of 2019

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-44052 of 2019
Date of Decision: 28.01.2020

Madan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present:- Mr. J.P. Jangu, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Through instant second petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. prayer has been made for grant of regular bail to petitioner, namely, Madan in a case arising from FIR No.0125 dated 23.03.2018 registered under Sections 364, 302, 201 and 120-B read with Section 34 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Kharkhoda, District Sonapat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that private witnesses have already been examined. Co-accused of the petitioner, namely, Himanshu @ Chootu has already been granted regular bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 17.12.2019 passed in CRM-M-43863 of 2018. Petitioner is in custody since 23.03.2018. Conclusion of trial may take a sufficient long time. No useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner in jail any more.

Treating the case of the petitioner on the same parity as that of his co-

CRM-M-44052 of 2019

accused, he may also be granted regular bail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel vehemently opposed the grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

Considering overall facts and circumstances, but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed. Consequently, treating the case of petitioner namely, Madan, on the same parity as that of his aforesaid co-accused, he is ordered to be released on bail during pendency of trial, if not required in any other case, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

January 28, 2020
R.S.

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No