

102+210

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.44640 of 2019(O&M)
DATE OF DECISION:03.03.2020

Bhawani Singh @ Rohit

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Mr. Rakesh Dhiman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Vashisht, DAG, Haryana.

LALIT BATRA, J. (Oral)

CRM No.5530 of 2020

Application is allowed, as prayed for.

CRM-M No.44640 of 2019

Present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C is for grant of regular bail to petitioner-**Bhawani Singh @ Rohit** in case F.I.R. No.150 dated 28.02.2019 under Sections 120-B and 307 IPC read with Section 34 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Sadar Gurugram, District Gurugram.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that petitioner is in custody since 28.02.2019 and he is no more required by the Police for any investigation purpose. He further submits that after completion of investigation, challan has been presented in the Court and trial has already commenced. He further urges that complainant-injured (PW-1 Deepak @

Manjesh) has already been examined by the trial Court, wherein he (Deepak @ Manjesh) has totally exonerated the petitioner of the charges levelled against him. He further urges that since trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody further and he may be released on bail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel while opposing instant petition has vehemently argued that keeping in view seriousness of offence, petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

At this stage, without commenting anything on the merits of the case lest it may prejudice the outcome of the trial but taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is in custody since 28.02.2019; that challan has been presented against the petitioner in the Court; that trial has already commenced; that since trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner in custody further and, thus, he deserves the concession of bail.

In view of above, instant petition for grant of regular bail moved by petitioner- **Bhawani Singh @ Rohit** is allowed and he is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Gurugram, as the case may be.

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

03.03.2020
rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No