

267 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-935-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision-19.02.2020

Satinder Pal Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Narinder S.Lucky, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Nishchal Mann, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Jitender Dhanda, Advocate for respondent No.3.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-Satinder Pal Singh has filed this Criminal Writ petition under Article 226/227 of Consitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus for release of detenue, namely, Ekta Sheoran, who is in illegal custody of respondent No.3.

On 14.10.2019, notice of motion in the petition was issued and the following order was passed:-

“Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana, has accepted notice on behalf of respondent(s)-State. A copy of complete paper-book be handed over to him during the course of the day.

The SHO concerned is directed to visit the house of private respondent No.3 and make enquiry as to whether detenue-Ekta Sheoran is residing there with her own consent or has been detained by the private respondent illegally and forcibly. If SHO concerned finds that the detenue has been kept forcibly and against her wishes, then she be produced immediately before this Court.

Otherwise, a detailed report be submitted on the date fixed.

Adjourned to 22.10.2019.

To be shown in the urgent list.

A copy of this order be given dasti to learned State counsel under the signatures of Bench Secretary of this Court.”

In compliance of the order dated 14.10.2019, reply by way of affidavit of Kaptan Singh, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Detective Jind has been filed wherein it is stated that statements of detainee, namely, Ekta Sheoran and respondent No.3 (father of detainee) have been recorded. As per the statements, the allegations of illegal detention are wrong as the girl-Ekta Shoeran is residing with her parents with her own will.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner states that the girl has joined the company of her parents and in view of the reply filed on behalf of the State, he does not press this petition and wishes for withdrawal of the same.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

19.02.2020

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No