

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RFA No.5 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision:31.01.2020**

Raj KumariAppellant(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and anotherRespondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. Shoaib Khan, Advocate,
Mr. Manoj Khan Chouhan, Advocate,
Mr. S.K. Laura, Advocate,
Mr. S.K. Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. M.L. Sharma, Advocate,
Mr. Ram Kumar Saini, Advocate,
Mr. Nitin Rathee, Advocate,
Mr. Gopal Sharma, Advocate,
Mr. Gopal Soni, Advocate,
Mr. Anil Kumar Malik, Advocate,
Mr. SPS Ahulawalia, Advocate for
Mr. Akshay Jindal, Advocate,
Mr. Mohit Jaggi, Advocate,
Mr. Arvind Kashyap, Advocate,
Mr. P.C. Yadav, Advocate,
Mr. Gobind Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Advocate,
Mr. Gobind Dhanda, Advocate,
Mr. Rajiv Kataria, Advocate and
Mr. Ajay Jain, Advocate,
for the landowners.

Ms. Aruna Sachdeva, appellant in person in RFA-3490-2014.

Mr. Sudeep Mahajan, Additional AG, Haryana,
Mr. Abhinash Jain, AAG, Haryana.
Ms. Vibha Tiwari, AAG, Haryana.

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J.

The appeal is allowed in terms of detailed judgment of even date
passed in RFA No.1253 of 2014 titled as **“Ranbir Singh and others Vs. State**

of Haryana and others”.

However, in view of earlier order dated 08.05.2017, the appellant(s) shall not be entitled to interest on the enhanced compensation for the period of delay of 1006 days.

31.01.2020

Parveen

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No