

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR-6592-2019(O&M)
Date of decision: 26.2.2020**

Sunil Chum

.....Petitioner

Versus

The Punjab Builders and Traders (Pvt.)Ltd.

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Dr. Payal Mehta, Advocate for the petitioner

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The tenant-petitioner has filed the present revision petition against order passed by the learned Rent Controller dismissing the application for permission to lift photographic imprints of standard signatures of Harjit Singh Parmar and get it examined from a handwriting and finger print expert. Learned Rent Controller after appreciating the facts has dismissed the application vide order dated 11.9.2019, operative part whereof reads as under:-

“4. I have heard both the counsels and gone through record. Now, through the present application the application the applicant/respondent wants to examine hand writing expert to prove that Ex. A2 does not bear the signatures of Harjit Singh Parmar. A perusal of the record go on to reveal that the said Harjit Singh Parmar has himself stepped into the witness box as AW2 and stated that the said

resolution Ex.A2 bears his signatures. The said witness has duly proved the said resolution on record i.e Ex.A2. Now, it is well settled principle that science of comparison of hand writing is not a perfect science as the signature and handwriting of a person is bound to vary with change of pen and surface used to write the same. Moreover, once AW2-Harjit Singh Parmar has identified his signatures on the resolution Ex.A2, this Court finds no justification to get the signatures of Harjit Singh Parmar compared with the standard signatures on the judicial record. Further the applicant/respondent has failed to explain as to why the present application has been moved by him at this belated stage. As such, I do not find any justification to allow the application in hand, the same is accordingly, dismissed.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that signatures of Harjit Singh Parmar are different on three documents filed. Hence, she submits that the Court should have allowed the application.

This Court has considered the submission. Once, Harjit Singh Parmar himself has stepped into witness box and has admitted the signatures, the opinion, if any, of the handwriting or finger print expert would be of no use. Hence, no ground to interfere is made out.

Dismissed.

Needless to observe that observations made by this Court

while deciding the revision petition would not affect the final decision of the case.

26.2.2020
rekha
Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE
Yes / No
Yes / No