

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-30340-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 3.2.2020

M/s Sonalac Paints & Coating Ltd.

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory of Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI
: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Sandeep Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Jagga, Advocate
for the respondents.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondent No.3 to decide application for rectification dated 7.5.2013 Annexure P-3 under the Punjab VAT Act, 2005 (as applicable to U.T.Chandigarh) which is pending since long.

2. The brief contention of the learned counsel for the respondents is that the petition is not maintainable. Be that as it may. The only direction which is sought by the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the application for rectification should be decided as per law. We see no reason that the same cannot be decided as per law.

3. Consequently, the petition is allowed and respondent No.3 is directed to decide the application for rectification filed by the petitioner

as per law within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

- 4. Petition stands disposed of with above said directions.
- 5. Since the main case has been decided, the pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

3.2.2020
anuradha

Whether speaking/reasoned	-	Yes/No
Whether reportable	-	Yes/No