

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42326 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: February 19, 2020

Amit

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Abhishek Sindhwani, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.19 dated 26.01.2019, under Sections 346, 366-A, 376(2)(n), 377 of Indian Penal Code and Section 4 of POCSO Act, registered at Police Station Julana, Jind.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner herein was taken into custody in the aforesaid FIR on 27.01.2019. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is argued that the prosecutrix has not supported the case of the prosecution and turned hostile. It is also contended that out of total 12 witnesses, 02 witnesses have been examined and conclusion of trial will take sufficient time, therefore, the petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on

bail.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, opposes the grant of regular bail to the petitioner, however, does not dispute the fact that the prosecutrix has turned hostile.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since, the trial is likely to take some time and in view of the facts that the petitioner herein has been in custody since 27.01.2019 and that prosecutrix has turned hostile and did not support the prosecution version, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. At this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

However, anything observed or said by this court is only for the purpose of deciding the instant petition for grant of regular bail and the same shall have no affect on the merits of the case.

February 19, 2020

vijay saini

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No