

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

105

RSA No.2515 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision:4.3.2020

Gurlal Singh and another

... Appellants

versus

Harnam Kaur and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Proxy for Mr.P.K.S.Phoolka, Advocate,
for the appellants

...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Even today, no deed of compromise has been placed on record, with learned counsel unable to reply to the query of this court with regard to what is contained in paragraph 14 of the judgment of the first appellate court, which would eventually seem to be that the land itself has not been properly identified by the appellant-plaintiffs.

Hence, though otherwise the reasoning of the learned trial court would not seem to be irrational in any manner, yet with learned counsel not able to, even today almost four years after the appeal was filed, satisfy this court on that basic issue, he being unprepared in the matter, the appeal is dismissed in default.

4.3.2020

pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No