

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

287

CRM-M-41561-2019

Date of Decision:24.02.2020

Sumit Kumar Nagpal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

**Present: Mr. Jaspreet Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, AAG, Haryana.

**Mr. Amit Kumar Pandey, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.**

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of FIR No.95 dated 19.05.2015 under Sections 323, 406, 498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Sector-14, Panchkula(Annexure P-1) and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 08.07.2019(Annexure P-2).

This Court vide order dated 26.09.2019 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

Pursuant to the aforesaid order, parties have appeared before learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Panchkula and got their statements

recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Magistrate has

submitted report dated 21.11.2019 to the effect that the parties have made their statements as per their own free will and without any pressure and compromise is genuine and voluntarily.

Respondent No.2-complainant, namely, Mansi Nagpal, has made a statement with regard to compromise before learned Magistrate on 19.11.2019. The same is reproduced as under:-

“Stated that I have compromised the matter with Sumit Kumar in the proceedings of case FIR No.95 dated 19.05.2015 under Sections 323, 498-A, 406 of IPC registered at Police Station, Sector 14, Panchkula. Compromise has been effected by me with my sweet will and without any pressure. Accordingly, I have no objection if the proceedings of abovesaid FIR are quashed.”

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant F.I.R.

Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Gold Quest International Private Limited Versus State of Tamil Nadu and others-2014 (4) RCR (Criminal) 206*** has held that when the disputes are substantially matrimonial in nature, or are civil property disputes with criminal facets, if the parties enter into a settlement, and it becomes clear that there are no chances of conviction, there is no illegality in quashing the proceedings under Section 482 Cr.P.C. read with Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

Thus, following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, as approved by the

(2012) 10 SCC 303 also, in the light of *Gold Quest International Private Limited's case (supra)*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.95 dated 19.05.2015 under Sections 323, 406, 498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Sector-14, Panchkula(Annexure P-1) and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioner on the basis of compromise dated 08.07.2019(Annexure P-2), subject to payment of costs of ₹20,000/- to be paid by the petitioner, within a period of one month from today with the **Pandit Bhagwat Dayal Sharma Post Graduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Rohtak** and the said amount would be spent for the treatment of poor patients within the knowledge of its Director.

February 24, 2020

seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No