

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.41092 of 2019

Date of Decision:27.02.2020

Boby Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Preetwinder Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Trishanjali, AAG, Haryana.

-.-

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.177 dated 4.4.2019 under Section 346 IPC registered at Police Station Jind City, District Jind to the petitioner, who is in custody since 16.04.2019.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Statement of the complainant has already been recorded and since the trial is likely to take some time to conclude, petitioner be released on bail.

3. Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State opposes the bail application, while contending that the offences alleged against the petitioner are serious in nature and therefore, the petitioner is not entitled to concession of regular bail.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

5. Since the trial court is likely to take some time and in view of the fact that no further investigation is required and statement of the complainant has already been recorded, no useful purpose would be served

in keeping the petitioner behind the bars. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate. However, any observation made herein shall not be construed to be an expression on merits of the case.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

February 27, 2020
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No