

215

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-40926-2019
Date of decision-11.02.2020

Rishab

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Tarun Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. P.S.Jammu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.520 dated 18.08.2019 under Sections 323, 324, 326, 341, 506 and 120-B read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station City Sirsa. The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of Police in the above FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 23.09.2019 whereby while issuing notice of motion to the respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the injuries upon the complainant were caused by Vikram,

who is named in the FIR and the petitioner was indicted as an accused on the statement suffered by the said accused Vikram. He submits that the complainant himself is involved in number of criminal cases and presently confined in custody.

Notice of motion for 11.2.2020.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the Police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel who is assisted by ASI Atma Ram does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 23.09.2019 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

11.02.2020

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No