

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.27486 of 2019
Date of Decision : 05.02.2020

Nisha Kumari and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. Satnam Sishodia, Advocate for
Mr. Ravinder Malik (Ravi), Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Result of petitioner No.1 in general category, petitioner No.2 in BCB category, petitioner No.3 in SC category and petitioner No.4 in general category has been produced in Court in sealed cover along with cut off marks obtained by the last selected candidate in the respective categories. Result on opening reveals the petitioners to have secured the following marks:

Sr. No.	Name of Candidate	Category	Exam Marks	Exp. Marks	Viva-voce	Grand Total
1.	Sushil	SC	90	--	10	100
2.	Nisha Kumari	General	70	--	13	83
3.	Sandeep	BCB	72	--	13	85
4.	Rohit	General	58	--	12	70

as against the cut off 113/117 marks obtained by last selected candidate in general category, 79/78 marks obtained by last selected candidate in SC category besides 82/86 marks obtained by last selected candidate in BCB category in UHBVNL/DHBVNL respectively.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states in view of the position as noted above, he does not press the writ petition qua petitioner Nos.1 and 4 on account of their having secured lesser marks than last selected candidate in the General Category, however, as regards petitioner Nos.2 and 3, the writ petition may be disposed of by directing the respondents to take follow up action, in accordance with law.

3. Learned Sr. DAG states that he has no objection to the proposed course of action.

4. In view of the position as noted above, the writ petition qua petitioner Nos.1 and 4 is dismissed as not pressed, whereas qua petitioner Nos.2 to 3, the writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondents to take follow up action on the revised result of the petitioners, in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, preferably with four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

05.02.2020

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No