

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

110)

CR no.6006 of 2019

Date of Decision:17.02.2020

Sehdev Singh Puniya and another

...Petitioner

Versus

The Chander Lok Maruti Employee House Building Society Ltd. and
another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vishwajeet Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Upon it being pointed out to the learned counsel for the petitioners from the impugned order that very obviously the earlier round of litigation qua the same subject matter had already reached culmination by way of an order passed on 15.07.2011 by this court in CWP no.12268 of 2011, he has not stated that the subject matter of that *lis* was different to the one in the present *lis*, i.e. in the suit which has been instituted by the petitioners and has been dismissed by the learned trial court on an application filed by respondent no.1-defendant, under the provisions of Order 7 Rule 11 of the Code of Civil Procedure. Consequently, he seeks to withdraw this petition, with him submitting that he may be given liberty to raise all legal pleas as are available to him in the execution proceedings pending.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty, as is always available to any litigant.

17.02.2020
vcgarg

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable:

No