

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 39916 of 2019
Decided on: 13.01.2020**

Tilak Raj

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Manoj Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.P.S. Gill, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 126 dated 01.06.2019, registered under Sections 420, 120-B IPC and 13 of the Punjab Prevention of Human Smuggling Act, 2012 at Police Station Lopoke, District Amritsar (Rural).

The operative part of the order dated 26.09.2019, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

*“...Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
no specific role has been attributed to the petitioner.
List again on 24.10.2019...”*

Counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the order dated 26.09.2019 passed by this Court in CRM-M No.40429 of 2019, vide which the co-accused of the petitioner namely Jasvir Singh was granted the concession of anticipatory bail as it was observed that he will deposit the amount of Rs.3 lacs before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate,

which was to be kept in the FDR.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the said amount stands deposited with the Illaqa Magistrate.

Counsel for the petitioner has further argued that the another co-accused of the petitioner namely Taranjit Singh, has also been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 21.11.2019 passed in CRM-M No.45179 of 2019.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 26.09.2019, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Gurdeep Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 26.09.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

13.01.2020
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No