

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.39455 of 2019
Date of Decision.24.02.2020**

Hardil		...Petitioner
	Vs	
State of Haryana		...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. D.S. Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana.

-.-

JAISHREE THAKUR J. (ORAL)

1. This is a petition that has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.496 dated 16.12.2018 under Sections 341, 365, 511, 392, 394 IPC (Sections 397 and 201 added later on) registered at Police Station Sadar Fatehabad, District Fatehabad.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner has been in custody since 27.12.2018. Statements of material witnesses have already been recorded including the complainant wherein she has not supported case of the prosecution. He further argues that petitioner be granted bail in order to set up his defence.
3. Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State opposes the bail application by contending that no ground is made out for grant of bail to the petitioner.
4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.
5. Since statements of material witnesses including the complainant have already been record wherein she has not supported the case of prosecution and the trial is likely to take some time to conclude, no

useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind the bars. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate. However, any observation made herein shall not construed to be an expression on merits of the case.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

February 24, 2020
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No