

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

206

**CRM-M No.39483 of 2019
Date of Decision: 14.01.2020**

BIKRAMJIT SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. P.S.Rai, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr.DAG, Punjab.

Ms.Sushma, Advocate
for the complainant.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks cancellation of anticipatory bail, which was granted to respondent No.2 vide order dated 1.11.2017 in CRM-M No.33950 of 2017.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that after grant of anticipatory bail, some amount has been deposited in the account of the petitioner by Gurpartap Singh, who is related to respondent No.2 and the petitioner reasonably apprehends that he may be involved in some other case at the instance of respondent No.2 or the said Gurpartap Singh.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Inspector

Sharanjit Singh, states that the police has already investigated the main case arisen out of FIR No.12 dated 20.6.2017 registered under Sections 409/ 420/ 467/ 468/ 471/ 120-B IPC and Section 13 (1) (d) read with Section 13 (2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act at police Station Vigilance Bureau Range, Amritsar and no incriminating material has been collected against the accused.

In view of aforesaid position, the deposit of the alleged amount in the account of the petitioner cannot be treated to be an instance of misuse of concession of anticipatory bail, which was granted by the High Court in favour of respondent No.2.

No ground is made out to interfere in this petition and the same is accordingly dismissed.

January 14, 2020
anita

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No