

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39451-2019

Date of decision:02.12.2020

Karamveer Singh alias Intu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Senior Advocate with
Mr. P.B.S. Goraya, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Venu Gopal Jauhar, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Geetinder Singh, Advocate for the complainant.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.
Custody certificate by way of affidavit dated 01.12.2020 of the

Deputy Superintendent, Sub Jail, Patti, filed through email, is taken on
record.

Through this petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C., the petitioner
seeks regular bail in case bearing FIR No.5 dated 04.01.2015 registered
under Sections 323,324,452,427,506,148,149 IPC (Section 307 IPC added
later on) at Police Station City Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that initially, the FIR
was registered under Sections 323, 324, 326, 452, 427, 506, 148 and 149
IPC, but Section 307 IPC was added later on. It is further stated that the
petitioner was declared a proclaimed offender on 22.08.2017, but was
arrested on 21.04.2019. Thereafter, the petitioner was on interim bail w.e.f.

27.08.2020 to 17.11.2020. The petitioner surrendered on 17.11.2020 and

since then, he has been in custody. Thus, the petitioner has undergone the period of 1 year, 4 months and 19 days.

Learned State counsel, while opposing the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner, states that the charge under Section 307 IPC has already been framed against the petitioner. It is further stated that the co-accused Gurtegbir Singh, brother of the petitioner, has been declared a proclaimed offender.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has undergone the period of 01 year, 04 months and 19 days. Trial of the case would take time to conclude, especially due to prevailing situation of Covid-19. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

02.12.2020

ishwar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No