

**(275-1) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39596-2019

Date of Decision: 18.02.2020

Gurbinder Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Impinder Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Avtar Singh Sandhu, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

Mr. Navinderjit Singh Dandiwal, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in DDR No. 19 dated 04.07.2013 registered under Sections 295, 323, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Lakhewali, District Sri Muktsar Sahib (Annexure P-2) in FIR No. 24 dated 04.07.2013 registered under Sections 307/323/148/149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Lakhewali, District Sri Muktsar Sahib (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of DDR in FIR along with all subsequent proceedings, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-3) arrived at between the parties.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the complainant-respondent No.2 and injured/respondents No.3 and 4 have arrived at a settlement with the accused persons/petitioners, vide Compromise Deed dated 28.08.2019 (Annexure P-3), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties.

3. The Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Malout, vide report dated 24.01.2020, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is voluntarily.

4. Respondents No.2 to 4 are represented by their Counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

5. In view of the report of the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Malout, and in view of the decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another**", 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "**Narinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another**", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainants have themselves compromised the dispute with the accused persons/petitioners.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. DDR No. 19 dated 04.07.2013 registered under Sections 295, 323, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Lakhewali, District Sri Muktsar Sahib (Annexure P-2) in FIR No. 24 dated 04.07.2013 registered under Sections 307/323/148/149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Lakhewali, District Sri Muktsar Sahib (Annexure P-1), along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, is hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

18.02.2020
Pardeep

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No