

CWP-25425-2019

Date of decision: 23.01.2020

PAWAN KUMAR

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. S.K. Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Harish Rahtee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the stand of respondents in the written statement of the private respondents having secured one more mark than the petitioner, the petitioner does not wish to press the writ petition any further and the same may be disposed of as having become infructuous.

[2] In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge23.01.2020
amit

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No.