

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-38839 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: February 04, 2020

Sukhwinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Petitioner in person with
Mr. Ramandeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ashima Mor, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh.

Respondent No.4 in person with
Mr. G.S. Gurna, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.114 dated 01.07.2014 registered under Sections 354-A, 354-B of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Sector 3, Chandigarh (Annexure P/1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom i.e. order of conviction dated 11.01.2018 passed by JMIC, Chandigarh (Annexure P-2) in view of the compromise (Annexure P/4).

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant-Gurpreet Kaur on the allegations that the accused-petitioner had teased her by putting his hand on her shoulder. Now with the intervention of

respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Chief Judicial Magistrate at Chandigarh, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from anyone and the same is genuine one.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant-respondent No.4 admit to the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble

Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.114 dated 01.07.2014 registered under Sections 354-A, 354-B of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Sector 3, Chandigarh (Annexure P/1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom i.e. order of conviction dated 11.01.2018 passed by JMIC, Chandigarh (Annexure P-2) in view of the compromise (Annexure P/4) are quashed qua the petitioner herein.

February 04, 2020
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No