

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**CRWP No.10995 of 2020 (O&M)****Date of Decision: 31.12.2020**

Renu @ Ayat Shaikh and Another

..... Petitioner(s)

V/s.

State of Union Territory Chandigarh and Others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURIPresent: Mr. Satinder Kumar Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Through Video Conference**Jasgurpreet Singh Puri, J.(Oral)**

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are of marriageable age and they have married each other with their voluntary consent and they are apprehending threat at the hands of the private respondents.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Rajiv Anand, Additional PP, U.T. Chandigarh accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3. Mr. Anand states that the address which has been given by the petitioners is not a valid address and colony as mentioned was under demolition during COVID-19.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners themselves will present before the respondent No.3-SHO, P.S. Industrial Area, Phase-1, Chandigarh.

In view of the above, it is directed that in case the present petitioners appear before the aforesaid SHO-respondent No.3 then SHO shall assess the threat perception of the petitioner(s) and further proceed and take such measure(s) in

accordance with law. It is made clear that this direction is issued only for the

purpose of considering the threat of life and liberty to the petitioners and does not reflect anything on the validity of marriage.

Disposed of, accordingly.

31st December, 2020

Sonia Puri

(Jasgurpreet Singh Puri)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No