

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No.10966 of 2020
Date of Decision:-30.12.2020**

Laxmi Kanwar and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA

Present:- Mr. Vijay Kumar Sheoran, Advocate
for the petitioners.

(Proceedings through Video Conferencing)

ASHOK KUMAR VERMA J.(Oral)

Through this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, prayer has been made for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the newly married petitioners from the hands of private respondents No.4 to 6 and their other companions.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are major and have solemnized their marriage in accordance with law. However, the private respondents are not accepting their marriage and are adamant to separate them from each other by resorting to illegal means. Learned counsel further submits that in view of imminent danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners, petitioners have moved a representation dated

25.12.2020 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Bhiwani.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Vishal Malik, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Learned State counsel, on instructions submits that no representation, as alleged, has been received by the police of District Bhiwani from the petitioners. He, however submits that in case the petitioners moves any representation with regard to the prayer made in the petition, the same will be looked into as per law.

The contents of the petition alongwith Annexures thereof have been perused. The present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Bhiwani that if such a representation seeking protection of life and liberty moves by the petitioners, the contents of the same be duly verified and if necessary, requisite steps be taken strictly in accordance with law for grant of protection of life and liberty of the petitioners. It is clarified that this order shall neither be treated as a stamp of this Court qua marriage of the petitioners nor any reflection on the merits of the contentions raised by them in the present petition.

December 30, 2020

Vijay Asija

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No