

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

138

CRWP-10967 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 30.12.2020

Shamshuddin and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA

Present : Mr. Talim Hussain, Advocate for the petitioners.

ASHOK KUMAR VERMA , J. (Oral)

CRM No. 1282 of 2020

Application is allowed as prayed for subject to all just exceptions.

CRWP No.10967 of 2020

Case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to the outbreak of Pandemic COVID-19.

Through this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, prayer has been made for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the newly married petitioners from the hands of private respondents No.4 and 5 and their other companions.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are major and have solemnized their marriage in accordance with law. However, the private respondents are not accepting their marriage and are adamant to separate them from each other by resorting to illegal means. Learned counsel further submits that in view of imminent danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners, petitioners have moved a representation dated 20.12.2020 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Nuh, District Nuh, Haryana.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Vishal Malik DAG, Haryana accepts

notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

The contents of the petition alongwith Annexures thereof have been perused. The present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Nuh, District Nuh, Haryana that the contents of the representation dated 20.12.2020 (Annexure P-5) seeking protection of life and liberty be duly verified and if necessary, requisite steps be taken strictly in accordance with law for grant of protection of life and liberty of the petitioners. It is clarified that this order shall neither be treated as a stamp of this Court qua marriage of the petitioners nor any reflection on the merits of the contentions raised by them in the present petition.

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

30.12.2020
Poonam Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether Reportable Yes/No