

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**CRWP No.10991 of 2020 (O&M)****Date of Decision: 31.12.2020**

Tabbu and Another

..... Petitioner(s)

V/s.

State of U.T. Chandigarh and Others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURIPresent: Mr. Vijay Lakshmi, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Through Video Conference**Jasgurpreet Singh Puri, J.(Oral)**

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking direction to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of the petitioner and to restrain the private respondent Nos.4 to 7 from harassing or interference in the married life of the petitioner(s).

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that both the petitioners are already married earlier somewhere else and they are not having good relations with their respective spouses and have entered into a Panchayati Divorce but have not got any decree of divorce from the Court of law. He has submitted that petitioner Nos.1 and 2 are now living together and also have got married each other vide Annexure P3 and they are getting threats at the hands of the private respondents.

At this stage, Mr. Rajiv Vij, Additional PP, U.T. Chandigarh has caused appearance on behalf of respondent Nos.1,2 and 3 and has submitted that he has received instructions from ASI Balwinder Singh to state that statement of the

petitioners in the present case was recorded by the police and threat

perception was also assessed by the police and it was found that there was no threat to the petitioners at all.

In view of above, no interference is called for in the present case and therefore, the present petition is dismissed.

31st December, 2020

Sonia Puri

(Jasgurpreet Singh Puri)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No