

**274 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CR No. 5660 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.01.2020**

Ramesh Chander

.... Petitioner

Versus

Ranjit Kaur and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. V.K.Sandhir, Advocate
for the respondents.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The tenant has filed the present revision petition against order passed by Ld. Rent Controller dismissing the application for permission to amend the written statement.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the written statement/reply to the eviction petition, petitioner has already taken a stand that the landlords are not the owners of the property. He further submits that issue in this aspect has already been framed. He submits that he would not press this petition if he is permitted to produce copies of jamabandis for the year 2010-2011 and 2015-2016. He submits that the aforesaid jamabandis should not be ignored by the Court on the ground that the evidence produced is beyond pleadings.

Learned counsel for the respondents does not have any objection thereto.

Even otherwise, the evidence is not required to be pleaded.

Order 6 Rule 2 CPC provides that only facts are required to be pleaded and that also in concise form.

In view thereof, the present revision petition is disposed of by directing the Ld. Rent Controller to permit the petitioner-tenant to produce copies of jamabandis for the year 2010-2011 and 2015-2016 and the Court would examine the effect thereof while passing the final judgment.

Disposed of.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are disposed of, in view of the abovesaid judgment.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

29.01.2020
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No