

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

107

C.W.P.No.24834 of 2019

Date of Decision:- 16.03.2020

Rashmi Goyal

....Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr.Kushagra Beniwal , Advocate for
Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, Advcoate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

Learned State Counsel submits that mutation has since been sanctioned and, thus, the writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner accepts the aforementioned submission.

Thus, the writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

March 16, 2020
poonam

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No