

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-9720-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 6.2.2020**

Sukhwinder Singh Gill and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH**

Present: Mr. V.K. Jindal, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. Gopal Soni, Advocate
and Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner (s).

Mr. P.P. Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 to 4.

RAJAN GUPTA, J. (Oral)

Mr. Jindal submits that he may be allowed to withdraw the petition in respect of petitioners No.3 and 4 with liberty to file fresh one as issue of linear and non-linear plots is involved.

Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty qua petitioners No.3 and 4.

Prayer in the petition qua petitioners No.1 and 2 is for issuance of direction to the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner for allotment of residential plot in the oustee quota on the basis of policy dated 9.11.2010. Mr. Jindal submits that allotment to the petitioner made in the year 2016 and he would, thus, be governed by the policy dated 7.9.2010.

According to him, Estate Officer while passing a speaking order has not only ignored the policy but the question of parity with other similarly situated persons. A query has been put to learned State counsel whether the matter can be reconsidered by the higher authority, he submits that the entire issue can be considered by the Administrator keeping in view the aforesaid pleas of the petitioners.

Under such circumstances, present writ petition is disposed of with a direction that the matter shall be examined afresh by the concerned Administrator, if need be, after affording an opportunity of hearing to petitioners No.1 and 2. Said exercise be conducted expeditiously in any case not later than three months.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

February 6, 2020

Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No