

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-37997-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 19.02.2020**

Satnam Singh Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and another Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Mr. Parveen Kumar, Advocate
for Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Sharda, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Karan Chaudhary, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Ramendra Jain, J.(Oral)

In compliance of order dated 13.01.2020, learned counsel for the petitioner has produced receipt of ₹20,000/-. The same is taken on record.

Prayer in the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been made for quashing FIR No.99 dated 03.07.2019, registered under Sections 454, 380 IPC, at Police Station City Gurdaspur Tehsil and District Gurdaspur (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Pursuant to order dated 09.09.2019 of this Court, the parties appeared before the trial Court on 15.10.2019 to get their statements recorded.

Learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gurdaspur, has

submitted his report vide letter bearing No.1076 dated 23.10.2019, duly forwarded by learned District and Sessions Judge, Gurdaspur, vide Endst. No.17527/RKT dated 29.10.2019.

According to the above report, compromise entered into between the parties is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

Therefore, in view of above and the fact that the compromise will bring peace and harmony between the parties, FIR No.99 dated 03.07.2019, registered under Sections 454, 380 IPC, at Police Station City Gurdaspur Tehsil and District Gurdaspur (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed.

Disposed of accordingly.

Registry shall verify the deposit of cost by the petitioners with the quarter concerned.

19.02.2020
mamta

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/non-speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No