

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10907-2020

Date of decision : 28.12.2020

Manpreet Kaur and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present : Mr. Amandeep Chhabra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J.

Case has been heard through video conferencing on account of
COVID-19 Pandemic.

The present petition has been filed by the petitioners under Articles
226/227 of the Constitution of India directing respondents No.2 and 3 not to
harass the petitioners illegally at the behest of respondents No.4 to 6 and also
directing respondents No.2 and 3 to restrain respondents No.4 to 6 from
interfering in the married life of the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have
given representation dated 22.12.2020 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2-SSP,
Tarn Taran to provide protection to life and liberty of the petitioners, but no
action has been taken in this regard by respondent No.2. He will be satisfied if
necessary directions are issued in this regard to respondent No.2.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab has accepted notice on
behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Learned State counsel submitted that he has no objection, if the
necessary directions are given to respondent No.2 to examine the matter.

In the light of the above, without going into the merits of the case, the present petition is hereby disposed of with direction to respondent No.2-SSP, Tarn Taran to examine and decide the representation dated 22.12.2020 (Annexure P-4) moved by the petitioners, in accordance with law and to do the needful, in case there is any threat perception to them. In case, the petitioners have not filed any representation till date, then they are hereby given liberty to approach the respondent No.2, with fresh representation, which is to be disposed of by the said respondent in the terms stated above.

Any observation made in this order is not be construed as expression on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

28.12.2020

Dinesh

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No