

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9569 of 2017
Date of Decision : 18.02.2020.

Sahil ...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and othersRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. Sachin Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Result of the petitioner for the post of LDC in general category in UHBVNL/DHBVNL against advertisement No.03/2016 category No.03, has been produced in sealed cover, which on opening reveals the petitioner to have secured 130 out of 200 marks as against 113/117 marks respectively obtained by the last selected candidate in UHBVNL/DHBVNL.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the position as noted above, the writ petition may be disposed of by directing the official respondents to take follow up action on the result of the petitioner in accordance with law.

3. Learned Sr. DAG, Haryana, states that he has no objection to the limited prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. In view of the position as noted above, the writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondents to take follow up action, in

accordance with law, on the result of the petitioner for the post of LDC
against advertisement No.03/2016, category No.03, in
UHBVNL/DHBVNL.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

18.02.2020.

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No