

SWEETY DEVI

.... Petitioner

Versus

PT B D SHARMA UNIVERSITY OF
HEALTH SCIENCES ROHTAK

.... Respondent

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumer Singh Brar, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] On the last date of hearing, while noting the stand of learned counsel for the respondent that the petitioner was not entitled to the benefit of maternity leave on account of non-fulfillment of conditions stipulated in Section 5 (2) of the Maternity Benefit Act, 1961 as also that none had put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner, the case was adjourned to today. However, as has been noted above, today also none has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner. It appears that in the circumstance, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the writ petition.

[2] Dismissed for want of prosecution.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge16.01.2020
amit

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No