

**110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-2716-2020(O&M)

Date of decision: 23.12.2020

Narinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Vinod Kumar and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr.Kartik Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The plaintiff-petitioner has filed this revision petition, under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, against the orders, passed by the learned Trial Court as well as learned First Appellate Court, refusing to grant temporary mandatory injunction, to remove illegal construction in a street.

During the pendency of the suit, a Local Commissioner was appointed, who reported that the construction is old one and it is at the one end of the street which comes to an end thereafter.

The petitioner by way of interim order wants direction to demolish an old building. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, this Court does not find it appropriate to interfere with the discretion exercised by the learned Trial Court as well as learned First Appellate Court. However, learned Trial Court is requested to expedite the disposal of the suit.

Disposed of.

23.12.2020

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**