

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10905-2020

Date of decision : 28.12.2020

Anu Bala and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present : Mr. Madan Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J.

Case has been heard through video conferencing on account of
COVID-19 Pandemic.

The present petition has been filed by the petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 to 5, on the grounds that both the petitioners, who are major have performed marriage on 21.12.2020 against the wishes of the parents of petitioner No.1, i.e. respondents No.4 and 5.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Sheenu Sura, DAG, Haryana has accepted notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and submitted that as per the instructions received by her, at present there is no threat perception to the petitioners. On this learned counsel for the petitioners made submission that at present there may be no such threat perception to the petitioners, but they be given liberty to approach the appropriate authority as and when there is any such threat to them from respondents No.4 and 5 or any other person.

In the light of the above, this writ petition is hereby disposed of, at this stage. However, the petitioners would be at liberty to approach respondent No.2, i.e. Superintendent of Police, District Fatehabad, in case they face any threat from respondents No.4 and 5 in future.

Any observation made in this order is not be construed as expression on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

28.12.2020

Dinesh

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No