

CRWP-10830-2020

Date of Decision: 24.12.2020

SUDESH RANI

... PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Nirmal Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing due to COVID-19 pandemic.

It has been stated that the marriage of the petitioner was solemnized with respondent No.3. The matrimonial dispute has cropped up between them and she has been turned out of the matrimonial house. Respondent No.4 is the mother of the petitioner who is reluctant to rehabilitate the petitioner in her house and is also extending threat and forcing the petitioner to reside with respondent No.3 in the house of her in-laws. Counsel further contends that the petitioner is apprehending threat and danger to her life and liberty at the instance of respondents No. 3 and 4. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has also submitted a representation dated 16.12.2020 (Annexure P-2) to respondent No.2.

Notice of motion to official respondents only.

Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of official respondents.

Without making any comment on the genuineness of allegations, as put forth in the aforesaid representation, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioner, as projected in aforesaid representation, in accordance with law.

24.12.2020
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No