

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

235

CWP No.8637 of 2017
DECIDED ON : 25.02.2020

Samunder Singh

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH AGNIHOTRI

Present : Mr. S.K. Redhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana.

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner-Samunder Singh, inter alia, with the prayer to quash the order dated 07.04.2017(Annexure P-5) passed by the Inspector General of Police, Rohtak Range, Rohtak, vide which respondents No. 6 to 8 have been selected and deputed in Upper School Course on 15.04.2017.

The grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner's seniority has been ignored while deputing the private respondents in the in the Upper School Course.

The records of the case show that on 26.04.2017 notice of motion was issued. Mr. Pankaj Nain, IPS, Superintendent of Police, Rohtak, has filed reply on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 5. In the reply, it has been *inter alia* mentioned that ASI Samunder Singh was deputed in Lower School Course in the year 2002-2003 against the seat meant for 35% quota.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be

satisfied in case the respondents (especially respondent No.3) is directed to decide the claim of the petitioner in a time bound frame by taking into consideration the relevant documents. He further submits that he will file a comprehensive representation in this regard within a period of two weeks from today.

In view of above, without commenting upon the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.3 i.e. Inspector General of Police, Rohtak Range, Rohtak, to decide the claim of the petitioner as submitted in the comprehensive representation within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

February 25, 2020
 jyoti3

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether reportable : YES/NO