

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10765-2020

Date of decision:23.12.2020

Neha Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. A.P. Kaushal, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

This petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was married to respondent No.4 but some differences arose between them and ultimately, she was thrown out of her matrimonial home. The petitioner also moved an application to respondent No.2 for protection of her life and liberty and to take action against the private respondents for harassing her.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and gone through the record.

Respondent No.4 and the petitioner are husband and wife. Seemingly, there appears to be a matrimonial dispute between them. By filing the present petition, the petitioner has tried to change the nature of

dispute.

In view of the above, this Court finds that no interference is called for in the present criminal writ petition.

Dismissed.

23.12.2020
ishwar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No