

130 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-22337-2020(O&M)

Date of decision: 23.12.2020

Des Raj

.....Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr.R.K.S.Verka, Advocate for the petitioner

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner prays for a writ, in the nature of Certiorari, quashing the order dated 07.10.2020, directing that the petitioner would stand retired on attaining the age of superannuation i.e 58 years.

The petitioner claims that he is a Class IV employee and therefore, retirement age is 60 years as per Rule 3.25 (b) of Main Service Regulation, 1975. The petitioner is stated to have sent a representation in this regard to the Senior Executive Engineer, Transmission Division, Model Town, PSPCL, Patiala (Annexure P-4).

Keeping in view the controversy involved, it is considered appropriate to direct the Senior Executive Engineer, Transmission Division, Model Town, PSPCL, Patiala, to decide the representation, of the petitioner, within a period of three weeks from today. If the petitioner is ultimately found entitled to continue in the service upto the age of 60 years, he shall not be relieved and if relieved, he shall be required to be taken back in the service.

Disposed of.

23.12.2020

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)

JUDGE