

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-539-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05.03.2020

Vikash

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Girish Agnihotri

Present: Mr. Akash Vashisth, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana.

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-Vikash has filed the present writ petition *inter alia* with a prayer to quash the order dated 28.12.2017 (Annexure P-6), whereby, the petitioner has been denied appointment on the post of Constable Male (General Duty) in Haryana Police.

In the order dated 28.12.2017, the Competent Authority had recorded *inter alia* the following reasons:-

xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx

“Keeping in view the facts explained above, selected candidates vikash s/o Ravinder Singh caste-Jat, VPO-Nandha, the-Charkhi Dadri, P.S. Badhra Distt. Charkhi Dadri bearing roll No.1001115814/Gen. Acquitted by the Id. Court of Sh. Prateek Jain, JMIC, Hisar in case FIR No.190 dt. 28.03.11 u/s 323, 356, 379, 506, 365 and 342 IPC which falls under moral turpitude.

So, selected candidates vikash s/o Ravinder Singh, caste-Jat, VPO-Nandha, the-Charkhi Dadri, P.S. Badhra Distt Charkhi Dadri bearing roll

No.1001115814/Gen. Can not be considered for allotment of regimental no. as he concealed the facts in his verification cum attestation form and thus has violated PPR 12.18(2). Candidate Vikash also violated the Haryana Govt. instruction issued vide letter no.6857/5-GST-72/2755 dt. 02/02/1973 from the Chief Secretary to Government, Haryana, where offences falling under moral turpitude have been mentioned.”

This Court vide judgment dated 04.03.2020, has decided same/similar controversy in **CWP-17084-2019** titled as **Suresh Kumar vs. State of Haryana and others**. Accordingly, The present writ petition is also disposed of in the same terms as in **CWP-17084-2019**.

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

05.03.2020

anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No