

CWP-22237-2020

Date of decision: 22.12.2020

Gurdip Kaur

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH**Present:** Mr. Mrigank Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.**FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

Due to outbreak of pandemic COVID-19, the instant case is being taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

The petitioner has invoked the jurisdiction of this Court in terms of Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking prayer by way of writ of *mandamus* for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 4 to decide the representations Annexure P-1 and Annexure P-2.

Notice of motion to the respondents-State.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab puts in appearance and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. Copy supplied.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the petitioner as per the policy of the Government of Punjab in order to identify the riot victims of 1984, separate identity cards were prepared after verification known as Red Card which is the basis of release of grants and reliefs to the riot victims by the Government and the Government has released the rehabilitation grant to the affected families of the said riot victims from time to time. Counsel further submits that respondent No.5-Society claims itself to be the representative of the riot victims, however, the office bearers of respondent No.4, namely, Sukhbir Singh and Sukhwant Singh have themselves defrauded the government by creating forged Red Cards in their favour and getting financial aid from the Government. Representations, in this regard, were given to the Government officials but no action has been taken so far and, thus, the petitioner is seeking the present relief.

Upon hearing learned counsel of both the sides and on perusal of the records, the present petition stands disposed off with the directions to the official respondents to consider and decide the representations dated 20.03.2019 and 20.07.2019 (Annexures P-1 & P-2, respectively) moved by the petitioner, in accordance with law, by passing a speaking order, preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.

The petition stands disposed off accordingly.

22.12.2020

Neha

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No