

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.24644 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: July 23, 2020

Rachna Singh and another ...Petitioners

Versus

Haryana Urban Development Authority & another ...Respondents

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN**
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA

Present: Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Advocate for respondents-HUDA.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J.(oral)

Case taken up through Video Conferencing.

Counsel for the petitioners prays for withdrawal of the petition to pursue the representation (Annexure P-17) at this stage. He has also prayed that the said representation may be directed to be decided by the Estate Officer, HSVP, Faridabad within a time prescribed by this Court.

Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Advocate appearing on behalf of the respondents has not raised any objection in this regard and has rather fairly submitted that the representation (Annexure P-17) shall be decided by this court in accordance with law.

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the present writ petition is hereby dismissed as withdrawn but with liberty to the petitioners to pursue their representation (Annexure P-17) and with a direction to the Estate Officer, HSVP, Faridabad to decide the same

(Annexure P-17) within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, by passing a speaking order and affording opportunity of hearing, if need be, to the petitioners. It is needless to observe that in case any adverse order is passed on the representation (Annexure P-17), the petitioners shall have the right to challenge the same in accordance with law.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

July 23, 2020
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No