

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

CRWP-10650-2020

Date of decision: 21.12.2020

Bhupinder Nath and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Chandan Singh Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

The Court has been convened through video conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

Petitioner No.2 was earlier married with one Neelesh Singh on 23.04.2014 and out of the said wedlock a daughter was born. The husband of the petitioner No.2 expired on 24.01.2017 and his death certificate has been appended as Annexure P-4.

Bhupinder Nath and Vindya Singh, the petitioners before this Court, claim themselves to be major. They have pleaded that they have married against the wishes of respondents no.4 to 6 and seek protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 6. They have placed on record Marriage Certificate and photographs, Annexures P-5 and P-6, respectively, evidencing their marriage. The petitioners have submitted a representation, Annexure P-7 to the Superintendent of Police, District Kurukshetra.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioners, Annexure P-7 and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

21.12.2020
pooja saini

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No