

Sr.No.105

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10654 of 2020

Date of decision:22.12.2020

Krishna Devi and another

... Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondent (s)

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jasgurpreet Singh Puri

Present: Mr. Anureet Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Through Video Conference

Jasgurpreet Singh Puri, J.(Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of the petitioners who are apprehending danger at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 9.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are being constantly harassed and threatened by the private respondents especially respondent No.7 who is the daughter-in-law of the petitioners. He has submitted that infact the petitioners had disowned their son and daughter-in-law from immovable and movable property vide news

item dated 29.06.2020 due to which the petitioners who are the old parents are being threatened by the private respondents.

He has submitted that earlier the police officials of District Patiala had called the petitioners to police station and for that purpose they had filed CRWP No.7337 of 2020 and directions were issued to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala to decide their complaint/representation vide order dated 17.09.2020. He has submitted that now private respondents in connivance with police officials of District Ludhiana have started harassing the petitioners and the in charge of Women Cell Ludhiana CAW Cell-3, Sub Division No.5, Ludhiana is calling the petitioners on the same cause of action and threatening them to compromise the matter otherwise, they will be falsely implicated in the criminal case.

Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioners have nothing to do with their son and daughter-in-law to whom they have disowned and there is no occasion for the police to call them repeatedly to the police station. Earlier they were called at the police station Patiala and now they are being called at police station Ludhiana.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 5 at this stage.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Mehardeep Singh Dulat, Addl.AG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State and submits that State has no

objection in case appropriate direction is issued to the Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana to look into the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-2) and to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

In view of the above, this Court is satisfied that instead of calling for the reply at this stage, it would be just and proper to direct the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana to look into the representation (Annexure P-2) which has been given by the petitioners and to further proceed in accordance with law. It is further directed that the police Commissioner of Ludhiana shall also co-ordinate with the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala to whom the earlier directions were issued in CRWP No.7337 of 2020 in this regard.

Disposed of.

22nd December, 2020

Shivani Kaushik

[JASGURPREET SINGH PURI]

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable *Yes/No*