

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.10624 of 2020 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 18.12.2020

PRIYANKA AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present: Mr. Sumit Singh Bairagi, Advocate for the petitioner

..

ALKA SARIN, J. (Oral):

Heard through video conferencing.

This is a petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking protection of life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are major and have got married against the wishes of their parents and relatives. The copies of the Aadhaar Cards of both the petitioners have been annexed with the petition as Annexures P-1 and P-2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has contended that the petitioners are receiving serious threats and apprehend grave danger to their life and liberty. The petitioners had also sent a representation dated 15.12.2020 (Annexure P-5) to the Superintendent of Police, Panipat (respondent No.2) for providing adequate security. However, no action has been taken till date. The counsel for the petitioners would further contend that he limits his prayer in the present petition and would be satisfied at this

stage if directions are issued for deciding the said representation in accordance with law.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, DAG Haryana has joined the session through video conferencing and accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3. Since the matter is not being decided on merits, the service on other respondents is dispensed with.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Without expressing any opinion with regard to the veracity of the contents of the petition and the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the present petition is disposed off with a direction to the Superintendent of Police, Panipat (respondent No.2) to consider the representation dated 15.12.2020 (Annexure P-5) and take necessary action as per law.

It is, however, made clear that this order shall not, in any manner, be construed as an expression of opinion on the veracity of the statements made by the petitioners or on the validity of the marriage and shall have no effect on any other civil or criminal proceedings, if any, instituted/pending against them.

(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE

December 18, 2020
kv

NOTE:

Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO