

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
106

CWP No. 21895 of 2020

Date of Decision: 21st December, 2020

Manish Kumar Banger

....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana & others

....Respondent

CORAM: JUSTICE S. MURALIDHAR
JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Abhinav Aggarwal, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana
for the Respondent Nos. 1, 2, 4 to 6.

Mr. Sanjeev Majra, Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 3 and 7.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Senior Advocate
Additional Solicitor General of India with
Mr. Ashish Rawal, Advocate for the UOI.

Dr. S. Muralidhar, J.

1. The Petitioner is stated to be aggrieved by the Respondents blocking access to the roads connecting two sectors in Panchkula, Haryana.
2. Upon being questioned on whether the present petition was in the nature of a Public Interest Litigation (PIL), Mr. Abhinav Aggarwal, learned Counsel for the Petitioner, states that this is not a PIL and that the personal interest of the Petitioner is involved.
3. When again questioned about what the personal interest of the Petitioner was, Mr. Aggarwal states that the Petitioner is a share broker and has to meet his 'clients' and that the blocking of the roads is adversely affecting him.
4. The Court finds that in the petition itself there is no such statement. Even

otherwise, the Petitioner claims to have filed a representation before the Respondents on 21st November, 2020 and has rushed to this Court by filing the present petition just within a month thereof.

5. The Court is not satisfied that the Petitioner has made out any case for issuing any of directions sought in the present petition, at this stage.

6. It will be open to the Petitioner to follow up on his representation before the Respondents and thereafter seek appropriate remedies in accordance with law. The representation, if already filed as stated, be disposed of by the Respondents not later than 8 weeks and the decision be communicated to the Petitioner within a week thereafter.

7. The petition is dismissed in the above terms.

(S. MURALIDHAR)
JUDGE

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

21st December, 2020

jk

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No