

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

**Civil Writ Petition No. 6896 of 2017
Date of Decision: 29.10.2020**

Smt. Santosh Sharma

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Sarthak Singhal, Advocate for
Mr. Ravinder Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Gulzar Singh Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

This is a petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to extend the benefit of enhancement of retirement age from 58 to 60 years to the petitioner as per memo No. 34/1/2006-4GSI, dated 31.1.2006 and 21.4.2008 (Annexures P-1 and P-2, respectively).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a handicapped person and as per the policies dated 31.1.2006 (Annexure P-1) and dated 21.4.2008 (Annexure P-2), she be given extension in service being a physically disabled/challenged person.

On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that the petitioner had retired in the year 30.9.2016 after attaining the age of superannuation and even if the case of the petitioner for granting two years'

extension is to be concerned, she should have been retired on 30.9.2018. He further submits that since the relief claimed by the petitioner cannot be granted to her, therefore, the present petition becomes infructuous.

Keeping in view the above, the present petition has become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly.

However, if at any stage, the petitioner has any grievance, it is open for her to move an application to revive the present petition.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

October 29, 2020
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No