

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36602-2019
Decided on : 22.01.2020

Sahil Thakur

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of UT, Chandigarh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Aanchal Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate for
Mr. G.D.S.Wasu, Addl. PP, UT, Chandigarh.

Mr. H.P.S.Ghuman, Advocate
for the complainant.

Manjari Nehru Kaul, J.

Instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.PC for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.51 dated 28.05.2018 registered under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC at Police Station Women Police Station, Chandigarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations levelled in the complaint as well as in the FIR registered against the petitioner by the complainant are nothing but a bundle of lies. After the marriage, daughter of the complainant went to Australia on 18.12.2014 and all the expenses of visa and her travel were borne by his father. In fact, marriage of both the petitioner and the daughter of the complainant was got dissolved by way of decree of divorce dated 09.05.2019 in Australia and the present FIR had been registered only to exert pressure on petitioner and his family by the complainant to accede to the unreasonable demands by levelling false allegations. It has further been stated that the petitioner was

unaware of the registration of the instant FIR and it was only when the proceedings under 82 Cr.PC were initiated against him by the learned JMIC, Chandigarh that he learnt about the registration of the FIR in question.

Learned counsel for the State submits that no doubt the marriage of both the petitioner and the daughter of the complainant has been dissolved on 09.05.2019 by way of decree of divorce but the instant FIR was registered prior to the decree of divorce. Moreover, in the instant case, proceedings under Section 82 Cr.PC have been initiated.

Without commenting on the merits of the case and keeping in view the fact that the proceedings under Section 82 Cr.PC have been initiated against the petitioner, no ground is made out to grant the anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

22.01.2020

sonia

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable :

Yes/No