

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

117-1

CWP No. 22239 of 2020

Date of Decision: 22nd December, 2020

Union of India and others

....Petitioners

VERSUS

Nathaniel Masih and another

....Respondents

117-2

CWP No. 22255 of 2020

Union of India and others

....Petitioners

VERSUS

Ram Pal and another

....Respondents

117-3

CWP No. 22311 of 2020

Union of India and others

....Petitioners

VERSUS

Gajinder Singh and another

....Respondent

**CORAM: JUSTICE S. MURALIDHAR
JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

Present: Mr. Praveen Chander Goyal, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Dr. S. Muralidhar, J.

1. From a perusal of the impugned order dated 4th April, 2019 of the Central Administrative Tribunal ('CAT'), it appears that the CAT has placed reliance on the decision dated 15th September, 2017 of the Madras High Court in Writ Petition No. 15732 of 2017 (*P.*

Ayyamperumal v. The Registrar, Central Administrative Tribunal and Ors). The CAT has also referred to an order dated 23rd July, 2018 of the Supreme Court, by which the Special Leave Petition [SLP (Civil) Diary No.22283/2018] of the Petitioner/Union of India against the aforesaid judgment of the Madras High Court came to be dismissed. Further, the CAT referred to its earlier order dated 5th February, 2019 in O.A. No. 060/000107/2019 (***Inder Singh v. Union of India***), a copy of which has been enclosed as Annexure A-6 with the present petition. A perusal of that order shows that reference has been made therein to the judgment of the Madras High Court in ***P. Ayyamperumal*** (*supra*) and the judgment dated 12th April, 2018 of the Madras High Court in Writ Petition No. 8842 of 2018 (***K. Natarajan v. The Government of Tamil Nadu and others***).

2. With the challenge of the Petitioner/Union of India to the decision of the Madras High Court in ***P. Ayyamperumal*** (*supra*) having been negatived by the above order dated 23rd July, 2018 of the Supreme Court, the Court finds no error having been made in the impugned order dated 4th April, 2019 of the CAT, to the extent that it follows the aforesaid judgment of the Madras High Court.
3. The petitions are accordingly dismissed.
4. Copy of this order be placed in the files of connected cases.

(S. MURALIDHAR)
JUDGE

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

22nd December, 2020
Sachin M.

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No