

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 22044 of 2020

Date of Decision: December 21, 2020

Gurmail Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Vikas Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioners are aggrieved because the PWD (B&R) Department is going ahead with the paving of the village roads and streets despite a representation dated 20.11.2020 (Annexure P-6) having been made by the Sarpanch of the Gram Panchayat to respondent No.3 to defer the same till the water supply project is executed. It is argued that execution of the project by the PWD (B&R) Department violates the instruction dated 4.11.2016 (Annexure P-9) issued by the Punjab Government .

Notice of motion.

Ms. Anju Sharma Kaushik, DAG Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1, 3 to 7 and waives service.

Mr. Vipul Sharma, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 2 and waives service.

Considering the nature of the order being passed there is no necessity of filing a written statement.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to

consider the representation dated 20.11.2020 (Annexure P-6) in accordance with

law and in the backdrop of the instructions dated 4.11.2016 (Annexure P-9) and to decide the same by passing a speaking order within 7 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Before passing an order, he shall be at liberty to seek guidance from the Government.

Till the order is passed, the project of paving the roads and streets in the village be not implemented.

December 21, 2020

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No