

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

118

CRWP-10529-2020

Date of Decision:17.12.2020

Mamta Rani & Anr.

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Munish Raj Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

Case is taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing.

This petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6. The marriage certificate and photographs evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexure P-3 and Annexure P-4 respectively. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 14.12.2020 (Annexure P-5) to respondent

CRWP-10529-2020

No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3-State only.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Dhruv Dayal Sr. DAG Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the present petition with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda to decide the representation dated 14.12.2020 (Annexure P-5) moved by the petitioners, and grant protection to them, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

17.12.2020

Aman Jain

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No